```
Marshall Meyers (020584)
1
    WEISBERG & MEYERS, LLC
    5025 North Central Ave., #602
2
    Phoenix, AZ 85012
3
    602 445 9819
    866 565 1327 facsimile
    mmeyers@AttorneysForConsumers.com
5
    Attorney for Plaintiff
6
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
                         FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8
    Shelley Williams,
                                            Case No.
9
    Plaintiff,
                                             COMPLAINT AND TRIAL BY JURY
10
                                            DEMAND
11
          VS.
12
    Blatt, Hassenmiller, Leibsker & Moore,)
13
    LLC,
14
    Defendant.
15
16
                                NATURE OF ACTION
17
          1.
                This is an action brought under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act
18
    ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., and the Telephone Consumer Protection Act
19
20
    ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227.
21
                             JURISDICTION AND VENUE
22
          2.
                This Court has jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 47 U.S.C. §
23
24
    227(b)(3), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
25
          3.
                Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), where
26
    the acts and transactions giving rise to Plaintiff's action occurred in this State and this
27
28
```

district, where Plaintiff resides in this State and this district, and where Defendant transacts business in this State and this district.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, Shelley Williams ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person who at all relevant times resided in the State of Arizona, County of Pima, and City of Sahuarita.
 - 5. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 6. Defendant, Blatt, Hassenmiller, Leibsker & Moore, LLC ("Defendant") is an entity who at all relevant times was engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of attempting to collect a "debt" from Plaintiff, as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
 - 7. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Plaintiff is a natural person obligated, or allegedly obligated, to pay a debt owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.
- 9. Plaintiff's obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant, arises from a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services that are the subject of the transaction were incurred primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 10. Defendant uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, and/or regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due another.

2.1

11. Within one (1) year preceding the date of this Complaint, Defendant made and/or placed a telephone call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number, in effort to collect from Plaintiff an obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.

- 12. Within one (1) year preceding the date of this Complaint, Defendant willfully and knowingly utilized an automatic telephone dialing system to make and/or place a telephone call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number, in effort to collect from Plaintiff an obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.
- 13. In connection with collection of an alleged credit card debt, Defendant sent Plaintiff an initial debt collection notice letter dated May 17, 2011. *See* Correspondence attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 14. Defendant's letter was mailed to the address for Plaintiff's counsel with actual knowledge that Plaintiff was being represented by counsel.
- 15. Despite knowledge that Plaintiff was being represented by counsel, Defendant placed a direct call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone on June 3, 2011.
- 16. Despite knowledge that Plaintiff was being represented by counsel, Defendant placed a direct call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone on June 9, 2011 at 2:05 P.M.
- 17. Defendant placed non-emergency calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone, without the prior express consent of Plaintiff, using an automatic telephone dialing

1	22. Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) by willfully and
2	knowingly utilizing an automatic telephone dialing system to make and/or place a
3	telephone call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number.
5	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:
6	a) Adjudging that Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii);
7	b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §
8	227(b)(3)(B), in the amount of \$500.00 per violation;
10	c) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §
11	227(b)(3)(C), in the amount of \$1,500.00 per violation;
12	d) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
13	e) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees ands costs incurred in this
14	
16	action;
17	f) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be
18	allowed under the law.
19	TRIAL BY JURY
20	Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby demands a trial by jury.
21	Respectfully submitted this 1st day of September, 2011
22 23	By: s/ Marshall Meyers
24	Marshall Meyers (020584) WEISBERG & MEYERS, LLC
25	5025 North Central Ave., #602
26	Phoenix, AZ 85012 602 445 9819
27	866 565 1327 facsimile mmeyers@AttorneysForConsumers.com
28	Attorney for Plaintiff